ORIGINAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS
KRISTIN K. MAYES - CHAIRMAN
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP
CORP CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNS GAS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ITS PROPOSED DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT ("DSM") PORTFOLIO FOR 2008-2012

) DOCKET NO. G-04204A-07-0274

UNS GAS, INC.'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO REDESIGN ITS EFFICIENT HOME HEATING PROGRAM

UNS Gas, Inc. ("UNS Gas" or "Company"), through undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully requests that the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issue an order approving its application to enhance the UNS Efficient Home Heating Program, which will be named the Residential Gas Efficiency Program (the "Program") and allow the Company to recover the costs associated with the expanded program, effective January 1, 2010.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

In Decision No. 70180 (February 27, 2008), the Commission approved the UNS Gas Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Portfolio for 2008 through 2012 (the "DSM Portfolio"). Included in the DSM Portfolio is the Efficient Home Heating Program that was launched to customers in the UNS Gas service territory on June 16, 2008.

In the orders approving similar programs for UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNS Electric") (Decision No. 70377, June 13, 2008, page 10, line 7) and for Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") (Decision No. 70376, June 13, 2008, page 10, line 11), Commission Staff ("Staff") recommended that UNS Electric and TEP ... "review the energy savings from the program in order to determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar to that in place for Arizona Public Service ("APS") Residential HVAC DSM Program, would help to ensure cost-effective energy savings."

Anzide Composition Commission

DC Telefon

- 3 jaag

DOCKETED BY

26

27

1 | 2 | cor 3 | res. 4 | the 5 | Res. 6 | Pro. 7 | sim 8 | rec.

It has always been the intent of UniSource Energy Corporation ("UniSource"), the parent company of UNS Electric, UNS Gas and TEP, to offer a more inclusive program offering for residential customers, so after careful review and consideration of the quality install component, the contractor qualification component, and the duct sealing component offered by APS in their Residential HVAC DSM Program, UniSource has decided to expand the Residential HVAC DSM Programs offered through UNS Electric, UNS Gas and TEP. New program designs will include similar, more inclusive steps to those provided by APS to ensure cost-effective energy savings as recommended by Staff. This expanded program for UNS Gas is the first of three filings planned to modify program offerings of the UniSource companies.

II. INCENTIVES

To make the Program more attractive to residential natural gas customers, UNS Gas respectfully requests the Commission's approval to expand incentives that a customer can receive to include water heaters and a number of home performance services as detailed in the Program, as well as continued incentives for high efficiency gas furnaces. Since the Commission adopted UNS Gas' DSM Portfolio, UNS Gas has met with Staff on three occasions in 2009 to discuss how the DSM Portfolio should be evaluated. As a result of these meetings, Staff and UNS Gas have agreed upon a methodology based on the use of the Societal Cost Test ("SCT"). With this agreement in place, UNS Gas is confident that the expanded list of high efficiency equipment will qualify under the SCT.

III. PROMOTE EQUIPMENT AND PERFORMANCE SERVICES AS A PACKAGE

Water and space heating are important end uses in UNS Gas's high country climate and account for an estimated 90% or more of the total gas used by the residential market sector. UNS Gas's residential customers can realize significant savings on their energy bills by not only replacing old, inefficient equipment with high-efficiency equipment, but also by improving the energy performance of the building so that less energy is required to maintain desired temperatures. Home performance services, to improve thermal performance, to reduce infiltration through air sealing and to reduce duct leakage through duct sealing, will also optimize the

available savings from installing high-efficiency heating equipment. Therefore, it is important to promote these energy saving opportunities as a package and to encourage customers to improve the building shell and seal the ducts before installing high-efficiency furnaces.

IV. ORIGINAL PROGRAM VS. NEW (REDESIGNED) PROGRAM

The result of this re-design work is a new program offering. The major differences between the original program design and the new re-design include:

- New methodology of evaluating cost-effectiveness, resulting from meetings with Staff during 2009;
- Addition of high-efficiency furnace early retirement incentive;
- Addition of high-efficiency storage water heater incentives;
- Addition of air-sealing incentives (Only);
- Addition of insulation-air sealing incentives (Combined);
- Addition of duct-sealing incentives;
- Updated incremental costs;
- Changes in incentives offered to customers; and
- Increased budget for incentives and trade ally recruitment, training and program delivery, and incremental increase to DSM adjustor mechanism.

V. RECOVERY OF COSTS AND BUDGET

UNS Gas respectfully requests Commission approval to increase the Program budget through the DSM Surcharge. The incremental increase in the DSM Surcharge (adjustor mechanism) to recover the cost for redesigned Program will be \$0.0015 for 2010, \$.0026 for 2011, and \$.0036 for 2012, as shown below.

23

24

25

26

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
3.0	

27

2010	2011	2012
\$318,270	\$327,818	\$337,653
\$496,400	\$635,240	\$774,262
\$178,130	\$307,422	\$436,609
\$0.0015	\$0.0026	\$0.0036
	\$318,270 \$496,400 \$178,130	\$318,270 \$327,818 \$496,400 \$635,240 \$178,130 \$307,422

^{*}Forecasted Retail Sales (including unbilled therms)

VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Wherefore, for all the foregoing reasons, UNS Gas respectfully requests Commission approval of the redesign of the UNS Gas Residential Gas Efficiency Program in order to 1) enhance the Program; and 2) recover the costs associated with redesign of the Program through the DSM Surcharge. The requested effective date of the redesigned Program is January 1, 2010.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2d day of September 2009.

UNS Gas, Inc.

By

Philip J. Dion UniSource Energy Services

One South Church Avenue, Suite 200

Tucson, Arizona 85701

And

Michael W. Patten ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC. One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for UNS Gas, Inc.

1	Original and 13 copies of the foregoing
2	Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 2nd day of September 2009 with:
3	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed
6	this <u>And</u> day of September 2009 to:
7	Chairman Kristin K. Mayes Arizona Corporation Commission
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Commissioner Gary Pierce
10	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Commissioner Paul Newman Arizona Corporation Commission
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14	Commissioner Sandra D. Kennedy
15	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17	Commissioner Bob Stump Arizona Corporation Commission
18	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
19	Lyn Farmer, Esq.
20	Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division
21	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
23	Janice M. Alward, Esq. Chief Counsel, Legal Division
24	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Steve Olea Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Attachment 1

Efficient Home Heating Program

Expanded and Redesigned as:

Residential Gas Efficiency Program

Table of Contents

Program Concept and Description	
Program Objectives	
Program Rationale	
-	
Target Market	
Program Eligibility	2
Current Baseline Conditions	2
Products and Services	2
Program Delivery Strategy and Administration	5
Program Marketing and Communication Strategy	ε
Program Implementation Schedule	ε
Measurement, Evaluation and Research Plan	ε
Program Budget	7
Estimated Energy Savings and Environmental Benefits	8
Program Cost Effectiveness	9
Appendix 1 – Measure Level Energy Savings and Benefit/Cost Analysis	11

UNS Gas's Residential Gas Efficiency Program

Program Concept and Description

UNS Gas, Inc.'s ("UNS Gas") Residential Gas Efficiency Program, an expansion of the Efficient Home Heating Program, promotes the installation of high-efficiency gas-fueled furnaces and water heaters in existing homes, as well as home performance services that provide insulation, air sealing and duct sealing in UNS Gas's service region. Incentives for the purchase of qualifying high-efficiency equipment or home performance services are paid directly to homeowners, and marketing and incentive paperwork processing sales incentives are paid directly to contractors at an amount of \$25 per unit rebated. A list of approved UNS Gas contractors will be developed who are eligible to provide qualifying equipment and home performance services to UNS Gas customers.

UNS Gas will provide consumer education on the benefits of qualifying equipment and home performance services, and will promote the program through UNS Gas promotional events, participating contractors, the UNS Gas website, and print advertising.

Program Objectives

The objective of the program is to promote the purchase of Energy Star qualified high-efficiency furnaces and water heaters, to promote measures that improve thermal performance, reduce unwanted air infiltration, and measures that reduce duct leakage, thereby reducing the energy demand placed on space heating equipment.

Program Rationale

Water and space heating are important end uses in UNS Gas's high country climate and account for an estimated 90% or more of the total gas used by the residential market sector. UNS Gas's residential customers can realize significant savings on their energy bills by not only replacing old, inefficient equipment with high-efficiency equipment, but also by improving the energy performance of the building so that less energy is required to maintain desired temperatures. Home performance services, to improve thermal performance, to reduce infiltration through air sealing and to reduce duct leakage through duct sealing, will also optimize the available savings from installing high-efficiency heating equipment. Therefore, it is important to promote these energy saving opportunities as a package, and to encourage customers to improve the building shell and seal the ducts before installing high-efficiency furnaces.

Because Arizona Public Service ("APS") serves electric services in much of the UNS Gas service territory, and APS also offers incentives to customers for home performance services, such as duct-sealing, it is possible for customers of UNS Gas to have air sealing and duct sealing completed through the APS program offering. However, APS does not offer incentives to promote high-efficiency gas equipment. Therefore, UNS Gas chooses not to make duct-sealing a requirement for customers to receive equipment incentives offered through UNS Gas. It is the intent of UNS Gas to promote comprehensive repairs to each home to maximize savings for those customers who have not already taken steps to improve the building shell and seal ducts.

Target Market

The program is targeted at UNS Gas customers who are in the market to replace their existing space or water heating equipment and/or increase the thermal efficiency of their home through insulation, air sealing and duct sealing.

Program Eligibility

The program is available to all UNS Gas residential customers. All brands of equipment that meet the minimum performance standards are eligible for the program. Homeowners are eligible to receive incentives for purchasing qualifying high-efficiency equipment, insulation and for reducing infiltration through air sealing measures to the building shell and reducing duct leakage through duct sealing measures.

If a comparable program is offered in the UNS Gas service territory by another utility, customers must choose which utility program they will participate. Customers will not be allowed to receive rebates from both the UNS Gas Residential Gas Efficiency Program and another utility program for the same energy efficiency measure.

Current Baseline Conditions

The average lifetime of residential heating equipment is approximately 18 years, and it is estimated that most of the equipment that will be installed under this program will replace standard 80% efficient furnaces. The average lifetime of residential water heating equipment is approximately 13 years, and it is estimated the most of the equipment that will be installed under this program will replace 0.59 EF water heaters. This program will also include selected thermal shell insulation, air sealing and duct sealing measures intended to improve thermal performance, reduce air infiltration by approximately 50% and reduce duct leakage by approximately 60%.

Products and Services

The Residential Gas Efficiency Program is a customer incentive program design that provides rebates for the installation of energy efficiency measures in existing residential facilities. More specifically, the products and services provided by the program include:

- Incentives to homeowners for the installation of qualifying high-efficiency furnaces, for either end of life replacement/new construction applications or early retirement of working units. Program requirements for the early retirement incentive include the following:
 - The eligibility criteria will include a 72 hour advance notice requirement, which will allow UNS Gas the option and discretion to perform spot checks to ensure systems being reported as eligible early retirement jobs actually conform to program requirements;

- In the opinion of the contractor, but subject to review and approval by UNS Gas, the existing furnace must be in satisfactory working condition or in need of less than \$500 in repairs;
- Existing furnace must be less than or equal to 15 years old and must be noncondensing; and
- If system is greater than 16 years or older, it is eligible for a replacement incentive only;
- Incentives to homeowners for the installation of qualified energy-efficient storage water heaters;
- Incentives to homeowners for air sealing. Program requirements for the air sealing incentive include the following:
 - Blower door guided whole house air sealing is typically one of the most cost effective measures. To ensure estimated savings are being achieved, a Blower Door Test by a trained and certified contractor¹ shall be required with reported air leakage numbers in CFM @ 50 Pa before air sealing measures are implemented, and CFM @ 50 Pa after air sealing has been completed. A summary showing net air leakage reduction and methods used to achieve the reduction will be required to receive an incentive;
- Incentives to homeowners for duct sealing. Program requirements for the duct sealing incentive include the following:
 - If the system is losing more than 8 % of the conditioned air, duct sealing and repair should be implemented. Duct leakage must be tested by a trained and certified contractor* before and after the repair with an approved testing method. Approved methods include:
 - Duct Blaster:
 - Blower Door Subtraction Method;
 - Flow Hood Method; and
 - Pressure Pan Method; and
 - Total duct leakage to outside must be reduced by 50% or greater in order to qualify for the incentive;
- Incentives to homeowners for attic insulation. Program requirements for attic insulation incentive include the following:
 - Base case attic insulation levels must be less than R-19. Insulation improvements
 must increase the total attic insulation from it's existing value up to at least R-30; and
 - A prerequisite for the insulation rebate is a Blower Door Test by a trained and certified contractor. If the Blower Door Test shows building air leakage is >0 .35 ACH, then air-sealing must be completed prior to the installation of new insulation. Customer can receive up to \$500 for a combined insulation and air-sealing rebate. Incentives and qualifying criteria are summarized in Table 1, below;

¹ All BPI duct sealing and air sealing contractors must be able to perform a combustion appliance safety test for all dwellings with a combustion appliance (i.e., an appliance that employs direct combustion of it's fuel source) and notify customer of any deficiency(ies) that are identified. All Combustion Appliance Safety Test deficiency(ies) (e.g., draft deficiencies, high CO levels) must be corrected before duct system repairs or air leakage measures are performed.

Table 1. Incentives Schedule

Measure	Qualifying Criteria*	Incentive
High Efficiency Furnace (Replacement/New)	>=90% AFUE	\$200
High Efficiency Furnace (Replacement/ New)	>=92% AFUE	\$300
High Efficiency Furnace (Replacement/ New)	>=94% AFUE	\$375
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=90% AFUE	\$650
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=92% AFUE	\$750
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=94% AFUE	\$850
Energy Efficient Storage Water Heater	Minimum EF of 0.62	\$50
Air Sealing (Only)	Air sealing in compliance with program requirements up to a maximum of 0.35 ACH. Contractor performs air sealing, testing in/out and reporting performance results to UNS Gas	50% of Installed Cost up to \$250
Duct Sealing	Duct sealing in compliance with program requirements. Contractor repairs and seals ducts, testing in/out and reporting performance results to UNS Gas	50% of Installed Cost up to \$250
Insulation & Air-Sealing (Combined)	Eligibility is only for attic insulation, where existing condition is < R-19, increasing insulation to >=R-38. To qualify for the insulation rebate, a Blower Door Test is required. If building leakage is >.35 ACH, air-sealing must also be completed and the customer can receive the air-sealing rebate as well, for a total of \$500	50% of installed cost up to \$500

- Marketing costs, which may include compensation up to \$25 per rebated product or service paid to contractors to encourage program promotion and offset costs associated with detailed reporting required on each project; and
- Education and promotional efforts designed to inform customers about the benefits of improved thermal efficiency, air sealing, duct sealing and high-efficiency space and water heating, including educational brochures, program promotional material, and UNS Gas website content.

Program Delivery Strategy and Administration

The strategy for program delivery and administration is as follows:

- The program will be managed in-house by UNS Gas staff;
- UNS Gas will provide overall program management, marketing, planning and coordination of customer and contractor participation.
- UNS Gas may use a third party implementation contractor for assistance with rebate processing, data tracking, technical support and for trade ally management. The actual direct delivery of efficiency services to residential customers will be by participating trade allies. UNS Gas will work closely with the implementation contractor to recruit, train and manage trade allies to ensure optimum effectiveness in program delivery.
- Key partnering relationships will include:
 - HVAC, insulation, and air sealing training professionals;
 - Community interest groups;
 - HVAC, insulation, and air sealing contractors trained in program procedures; and
 - The Arizona Energy Office and Coconino County Community College, or other industry experts to provide training, education and awareness.
- Building Performance Institute ("BPI") Certification:
 - UNS Gas will work to standardize on a requirement to utilize BPI accredited contractors for HVAC and building shell work. As such, consumer marketing and contractor training in 2010 and beyond will emphasize the importance of BPI certification:
 - UNS Gas will not require BPI certification for the initial program redesign launch.
 However, this requirement will be added to the program requirements no later than June 2011:
 - To prepare for the future, UNS Gas will begin an extensive campaign to recruit contractors interested in receiving BPI certification. UNSG will capitalize on existing resources and specialty associations to spread the word;
 - UNS Gas will organize and deliver BPI certification classes for contractors; and
 - UNS Gas will help to subsidize for BPI certifications. Reimbursement will be paid
 after the contractor receives BPI certification and completes a certain number of jobs
 as specified by the program.

Program Marketing and Communication Strategy

The marketing and communications strategy will include the following components:

- UNS Gas will provide program marketing and customer awareness-building through a range of strategies including:
 - Promotions on the UNS Gas website about the benefits of purchasing high-efficiency equipment;
 - Promotion through community interest groups;
 - Advertising in major newspapers and other selected print media in the UNS Gas service region to raise awareness of the availability of the program;
 - Providing information through UNS Gas's customer care center;
 - Developing marketing pieces including brochures and other collateral pieces to promote the benefits of qualifying equipment, air sealing and duct sealing;
 - Assistance with responding to customer inquiries about the program and how to purchase qualifying equipment; and
 - Training and seminars for participating trade allies; and
- The advertising campaign will communicate that high-efficiency systems and home performance services will help reduce customer energy bills, provide equal or better comfort conditions, and are beneficial for the environment.

Program Implementation Schedule

Pending program approval by the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission"), UNS Gas will launch the new program effective January 1, 2010. As such, UNS Gas requests program review as quickly as possible to allow for implementation planning during the Fall 2009.

Measurement, Evaluation and Research Plan

UNS Gas will adopt a strategy that calls for integrated data collection, which is designed to provide a quality data resource for program tracking, management and evaluation. This approach will entail the following primary activities:

- **Database management** As part of program operation, UNS Gas will collect the necessary data elements to populate the tracking database and provide periodic reporting;
- Integrated implementation data collection UNS Gas will establish systems to collect the data needed to support effective program management and evaluation through the implementation and customer application processes. The database tracking system will be integrated with implementation data collection processes;
- Field verification UNS Gas will conduct field verification of the installation of a sample of measures throughout the implementation of the program; and
- Tracking of savings using deemed savings values UNS Gas will develop deemed
 savings values for each measure and technology promoted by the program, periodically
 review and revise the savings values to be consistent with program participation, and
 accurately estimated the savings being achieved by the program.

This approach will provide UNS Gas with ongoing feedback on program progress and enable program management to adjust or correct the program so as to be more effective, provide a higher level of service, and be more cost beneficial. Integrated data collection will also provide a high quality data resource for evaluation activities.

Program Budget

Due to the expanded list of equipment and home performance services, the Residential Gas Efficiency Program budget is expected to increase, as detailed in Tables 2 and 3, below. A comparison to the original program design budget and the incremental increase in budget and necessary DSM adjustor to provide this expanded program offering is included in Table 3.

Table 2. Residential Gas Efficiency Program Budget (2010-2012)

	PER ALLES ASSESSED.	Incentive /	U	nits Rebat	ed		Budget	
	Efficiency Level	Measure	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Incentives								
High Efficiency Furnace (New/Replacement)	>=90 AFUE	\$200	10	20	30	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$6,000
High Efficiency Furnace (New/Replacement)	>=92 AFUE	\$300	200	250	3 0 0	\$60,000	\$75,000	\$90,000
High Efficiency Furnace (New/Replacement)	>=94 AFUE	\$375	50	100	150	\$18,750	\$37,500	\$56,250
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=90 AFUE	\$650	10	20	30	\$6,500	\$13,000	\$19,500
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=92 AFUE	\$750	20	40	60	\$15,000	\$30,000	\$45,000
High Efficiency Furnace (Early Retirement)	>=94 AFUE	\$850	10	20	30	\$8,500	\$17,000	\$25,500
High Efficiency Gas Water Heater	>=0.62 EF	\$50	200	400	600	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$30,000
Air Sealing	From 0.75 ACH to 0.35 ACH	\$250	100	150	200	\$25,000	\$37,500	\$50,000
Duct Sealing	262 CFM 25 to 105 CFM 25	\$2 50	100	150	200	\$25,000	\$37,500	\$50,000
Attic Insulation	< R-19 to >=R-30	\$250	100	150	200	\$25,000	\$37,500	\$50,000
Subtotal Financial Incentives						\$195,750	\$309,000	\$422,250
Program Delivery								
UNSG Program Delivery						\$110,000	\$113,300	\$116,699
Inspections, Rebate Processing, Data Tracki	ng					\$63,000	\$64,890	\$66,837
Trade Ally Training						\$40,000	\$30,000	\$20,000
Subtotal Program Delivery						\$213,000	\$208,190	\$203,536
Program Marketing								
Program Marketing						\$32,700	\$41,375	\$50,063
Trade Ally Marketing						\$17,500	\$28,750	\$40,000
Subtotal Program Marketing						\$50,200	\$70,125	\$90,063
Utility Program Administration								
UNSG Program Administration					}	\$18,358	\$23,493	\$28,634
Subtotal Utility Program Administration						\$18,358	\$23,493	\$28,634
Evaluation								
Measurement, Evaluation and Research						\$19, 0 92	\$24,432	\$29,779
Subtotal Evaluation						\$19,092	\$24,432	\$29,779
Total Non-Incentive		1		, in		\$300,650	\$326,240	\$352,012
Total Incentive						\$195,750	\$309,000	\$422,250
TOTAL						\$496,400	\$635,240	\$774,262

Incentives as % of Total Budget 39% 49% 5

Table 3. 2010 - 2012 Comparison of Program Budgets and DSM Adjustor

Year	2010	2011	2012
Original Program Budget	\$318,270	\$327,818	\$337,653
Expanded Program Budget	\$496,400	\$635,240	\$774,262
Incremental Increase in	\$178,130	\$307,422	\$436,609
Program Budget			
Incremental increase in	\$0.0015	\$0.0026	\$0.0036
Expanded Program			
Adjustor Mechanism			

^{*}Forecasted Retail Sales (including unbilled therms) TME May 31, 2010

119,932,651

Estimated Energy Savings and Environmental Benefits

Annual energy savings goals for the expanded program are presented in Table 4, below. Appendix 1 (attached) provides further information about estimated energy savings for each measure category, including the measure and program level benefit/cost analysis.

Table 4. Projected Energy Savings for Expanded Program

Energy Savings	2010	2011	2012	Total
Annual (Therms)	88,304	134,659	181,013	403,977
Lifetime (Therms)	1,639,480	2,491,860	3,344,240	7,475,580

After the 2010 ramp up of the expanded program, energy savings is expected to significantly surpass energy savings expectations from the original program design shown in Table 5, below.

Table 5, Annual Energy Savings Expectations from Original Program Design

Year	2010	2011	2012	
Energy Savings (Therms)	100,432	103,444	106,548	

As a result of the energy savings shown in Table 5, it is estimated that the program will produce environmental benefits through avoided CO2 emissions shown in Table 6, below.

Table 6. Projected Environmental Benefits, 2010 - 2012

CO2 Reductions	2010	2011	2012	Total
Annual (Tons)	521	795	1,068	2,383
Lifetime (Tons)	9,673	14,702	19,731	44,106

Program Cost Effectiveness

The cost effectiveness of furnace and water heater replacements and home performance services were assessed using the Total Resource Cost ("TRC") test and the Societal Cost test ("SCT"). A summary of the measure level cost-effectiveness is included below in Table 7, below. The detailed measure analysis worksheets showing energy savings, cost and cost-effectiveness calculations for each individual measure are included in Appendix 1.

The cost effectiveness analysis requires estimation of:

- Net energy savings attributable to the program;
- Net incremental cost to the customer of purchasing qualifying equipment or services;
- UNS Gas's program administration costs; and
- The present value of program benefits including UNS Gas avoided costs over the life of the measures.

For the SCT, UNS Gas included an estimated externality cost associated with avoided carbon emissions starting in 2012, with a low of \$14/ton (SCT Low), medium at \$25/ton (SCT Med), and high (SCT High) at \$43/ton, and all carbon values escalating over time.

Although Staff advised UNS Gas to go ahead and include a valuation of CO2 in the benefit/cost calculations, Staff and UNS Gas also understand it is up to the Commissioners to accept or deny this value. Until the Commission provides a formal acceptance regarding inclusion of CO2 in the calculation of the SCT, UNS Gas will continue to provide results of the TRC test for Commission review.

Table 7. Measure Level Benefit-Cost Analysis Results

	TRC	SCT Low	SCT Med	SC High
HE Furnace 90 AFUE – NEW/ROB	3.6	4.1	4.3	4.6
HE Furnace 92 AFUE – NEW/ROB	2.8	3.2	3.4	3.6
HE Furnace 94 AFUE – NEW/ROB	2.4	2.7	2.9	3.1
HE Furnace 90 AFUE – Early Retirement	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5
HE Furnace 92 AFUE – Early Retirement	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5
HE Furnace 94 AFUE – Early Retirement	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5
EE Storage Water Heater <= 75 kBtuh	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3
Air Sealing	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.2
Duct Sealing	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.8
Air Sealing + Attic Insulation	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1

^{*}Note: SCT results and overall program level benefit/cost results were calculated in Analytica software program, file named "UNSG Benefit Cost Model 15.ANA".

Table 8, below, provides a summary of the benefit/cost analysis results at the program level according to the TRC and the SCT using the methodology and avoided cost information approved by Staff .

Table 8. Program Benefit-Cost Analysis Results

Benefit Cost Tests	
TRC	1.4
SCT Low	1.7
SCT Med	1.8
SCT High	1.9

In addition to estimating the savings from each measure, this analysis relies on a range of other assumptions and financial data provided in Table 9, below.

Table 9. Other Financial Assumptions

Conservation Life (yrs):	20
Program Life (yrs):	5
Energy AC (\$/Therm):	0.91532
Incentives as Percent of Total Budget over Three Years (2010-2012)	57.0%
TRC Discount Rate	7.00%
Social Discount Rate	7.00%
Weighted Averages Net-to-Gross Ratio:	100%

Appendix 1 – Measure Level Energy Savings and Benefit/Cost Analysis

UNSG C&I PROGRAM

HIGHEFFICIENCY GAS FURNACE

PROGRAMDATA					٥	OPER AT ING DATA	G DATA						<u>.</u> 91	OTHER FACTORS	TORS			
Measure Life (yrs):			8			Htg. Season Hrs.	nHrs.:		2,460	ß			Δ.	Application			ROB	
Program Life (yrs):			ъ.		.+.	Htg. Season Load Factor.	nLoadFa	cfor	0.80	Q			ပ	CostBasis:	Incr	incremental equipment	uipment	
Levelized \$/Thems		Š	\$0.84210		<u></u>]	Peak Day Load Factor	oad Fact	5	0.80	Q			Į				Ī	•
Ratio of Non-inc to Incentive Costs	Costs		40.0%		I					ſ			_>	Weighting Factors	actors			
IRP DiscountRate:			7.00%		<u> 1</u> 2	RATE DATA	4			Ī			<u>a</u>	AFUE ≠90			%09	
Social DiscountRate			7.00%		ျှ	\$/Them			\$0.8187	٦			۹.	AFUE ≠92			30%	
NTG Ratio:			100%										ব	AFUE =94			10%	
	DEMAND/EN	DEMAND/ENERGY SAVINGS	CS				INCENTI	INCENTIVE CALCULATIONS	LATIONS			CUSTON	CUSTOMER COST/SAVINGS	SAVINGS		WGT.	% Incent	TRC
		It		Peak Day	Ammal	RP	Social		₹			Taxcredits					•	
		Base		Savgs.	Savgs.	δ	PV	Scomment	Recommendec Program	Ē	incr.	and Other	cost	Payback	×			
Measure		Capacity	Base High	PerUnit	Perunit	Benefit	Benefit	Benefit Incentive	Cost	NPV	cost	Incentives	Savings	wo/ Inc.	winc. Weighting	eighting		
Туре	Size	(Btuh)	Eff. Eff.	(Thems) (Thems)	Thems)	(\$/ Unit	(S/Unit (S	(\$/ Unit (\$/ Unit %PV	PV (S/Unit	- 1	(S/Unit) (S/Unit)	(\$/ Unit	(\$/Unit	(yrs)	(yrs)	Factor	(%)	BC Ratio
HI-E FURNACE	<500,000 Btuh	00008	0.80 0.90	2.13	219	\$1,951	\$1,951	\$200 10%	% \$410	\$1,541	2330	0\$	\$179	8.	0.7	%09	%19	4.76
HI-E FURNACE	<500,000 Btuh	80000	0.80 0.92	2.50	257	\$2,290	\$2,290	\$300 13	13% \$541	\$1,750	\$421	80	\$210	2.0	9:0	30%	71%	4.24
HIE FURNACE	<500,000 Btuh	80000	0.80 0.94	2.86	293	\$2,615	\$2,615	\$375 14	14% \$662	\$1,953	\$512	\$0	\$240	2.1	9:0	10%	73%	3.95
Weighted Average					238	\$2,119	\$2,119	\$248 12	12% \$474	1 \$1,645	\$375	80	\$194	6.1	0.7	100%	%99	4.47
 (1) See Energy Aralys is Assumptions tab for energy calculation parameters (2) Measure Life based on DEER 2008 Data (3) References for Base and Measure Efficiencies provided in Energy Analysis Assumptions tab (4) See worksheet CostAssumptions for information of costdata. (5) NTG Ratio and discountrate based on ACC guidance 2/20/2009 (6) Ratio of Non-inc to incentive Costs discounted 20% for 2008/09 programmeasures 	umptions tab for EER 2008 Data Measure Efficien umptions for infor ale based on AC.	energy calcula) icies provided i mation of costu guidance 2/2 red 20% for 200	ion parameters in E nergy Analy data. io/ 2009 38/ 09 programi	sis Assump	flore tab													

1	EXISTING RESIDENTIAL HVAC PROGRAM- FURNACE EARLY RETIREMENT	HVAC PROGRA	UN-FURNACE EA	ALY RETIR	EMENT																		É	206 - FELENCIANCY GOS FUINACE E SOV, Harreman	y Cast Fulled.	o Econy region	Jugu												I
Particle	REVIETORIA A PROPERTIONA A PROPERTIONA A PROPERTION OF PRO	farm) Schermi	요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요 요	16 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	900 for stimes 80 725 80 725 80 765 80 8 1 20	ed years of	romaining H	fa oa a waii		Table which mean and a state of the pay through through the pay through throug	Benefit Newson Inc. 1995 (1995) (1995	in the in 16 can size of 15 can size	, to	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	various DTEs values Early values Both.	Februs, choose Rythenent dis.	a Yes for UTSRs on UTSRs on UTSRs and Yes	and No for Equipment of FT FT Read You ke	entend enters or Equipment I	stredut voiste. and ever the sel	g dect lexical trained exists con in the Rep	po in the Rope ag dact is along poir Needed o	in Needed of	dis lapori Naudard	4				(<u>1918명 교육</u> 2019)	a2 = g _	किन (अस्त्रस्थे)	econ set (moos	edcoven in call Z	7.4 k. choose	oly keramatyr	ž.			
Figure Color Col					0.00		001							-	-							2	CENTAGO	NOTE ATOM									OTSLO	AFP COSTA	SAMAS NA	г	L	Soriem	Ţ
				w. Petion 36	Splacement Fr	\$, a		EE		ļ-	aplecement		+		Replacement	L	Dads				4	8 Pubrolit	September 1		Duck	Reford P.	by scenario	Total			Ĺ	3	15					
The control of the co	Meaning	Und		E E					Annua	_		Sections					Bereit	Receipt				_	-		Benefit	Benefit	Banett	Banath	Benefit	increto-			100			- Evilia			
Fig. 2 (a) 1. C.		(kBah)		WEUE)	٦				nams)			(Thermo)	eplacemen (-+	4		3	6	1		١	9	9	١	9	9	9	3	3	9	. 1	Į	İ	1	-1	Stor 14 Inces	Ĕ	- 1	- 1
For the control of th	H-FR Sumace	æ	×UE	78%	218	1385		1388	1250	155	15%	156	12%	_			\$1.469	2	SHS						£1,753	ş	1964	5684	£1.859							_	1.7		
Hedromost 89 state 8 755 855 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 1	H-Ell Fumbon	88	35%	78%	80%	1385	0	1386	1233	717	7.7	176	14%				\$1.688	₽:	784						12,029	멅 :	101.12	1901	127.151							_	77		
Additional control of the control of	H-Eff Fumbor	80	94%	783%	937,	1389	œ	1386	9021	53	*dp:	52	Ľ	_				2	6. E						2002	2	27	\$1,200	£							_	r.		
To sell control can be a first of the first of the sell control can be a first of the sell control can be a first of the sell control can be a first of the sell can be a first of the	Weighted Average	æ	31% 8	7816	90%	1386	6	1386	1247	æ	16%	16.5	13%				\$1 533	s.	iles Tes				_	1367	11.831	\$	\$1.032	2.6	\$2 CD4								17		
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Notes: (3) Retraint contined in a thing re- (3) Replacement is defined as (3) Potation areas are siny for (3) Retraint general resistory for (4) Substantial resistory are	end of referention in a the parked of hims in the time of installed industrials assembly	nstallation of the new from the end of the I ation froughthe tem of the stronge and	v system thms remaining life anning life of the of the personning	oph the nomers of the system (fre sore fing sys-	rig Sic of the is frough the en- ether.	soleting system and of the useful afforced the a	Alfo of the run	w system.	magae a																													
				ĺ						ă	ŏ	š	ž			ě	Ж	ŏ	Ď	ర	ŏ	š	ж	70	ΟĶ	š	ž	ŏ	ð			l	ok G	e ok	¥,	3K OK	П	Ц	š
	File Nerra: Slebus	UNSQ.REVAC	-286_EPFumace																i													i							

UNSG C&I and RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS HIGH EFFICIENCY SERVICE

HIGH EFFICIENCY SERVICE HOT WATER HEATER ≤ 75,000 BTUh

PROGRAM DATA Measure Life (yrs): 13 Program Life (yrs): 5 I avelized (xT Perre	018	OPERATING DATA COMMER Based on light/moderate users		Commercial rate users	Olmg	OPERATING DATA Residential Energy consumption es timeted Based on the following formula:	DATARES mption est following ft	idential imated using th imula: (41,045	OPERATING DATAR esidential Energy consumption estimated using the DOE testprocedure. Based on the following formula: (41,045 BTU/EF x365)/100,000	/100,000		이축히	OTHER FACTORS Application CostBasis: In	RS ROB Incremental equipment	R 0 B equipment	
certive Costs				RATE DATA S/Therm	J V S	0.8541					_	<u>\$ </u>	Weighting Factors Commercial Residential	Σ.	units 25% 75%	
DEMAND/ENERGY SAVINGS						INCENTIVE CALCULATIONS	CALCULA	TIONS			CUSTOMER COST/SAVINGS	COST/SA	VINGS	WGT	% Incent	TRC
Measure Type	Base	Measure	Annual Savings (Thems)	IRP PV Beneftt (\$/Unit)	Social PV Benefit (S/Unit)	Recommended Incentive (\$/ Unit %P	>	PV Program Cost (\$/Unit	NPV (S/Unit	Incr. Cost (\$/Unit	TaxCredits and Other Incentives (\$/ Unit	CostSavings \(S/Unit	and Other Cost Payback Incertives Savings wol'inc. Winc. Weightling (\$/Unit (\$/Unit (\$YIS) (\$YIS) Factor	c. Weighting	(%)	BC Ratio
Combined Commercial-Residential Water Heater Program	0.594	0.620	14.3	888	888	\$50	%95	\$94	-55	\$74	0\$	\$12	6.1 2.0	100%	%89	0.95
(1) See Energy Aralysis Assumptions to for energy calculation parameters (2) Measure Life based on DEER 2008 data (3) References for Base and Measure Efficiencies provided in Energy Analysis Assumptions to the See worksheet CostAssumptions for information of cost data. (4) See worksheet CostAssumptions for information of cost data. (5) NTG Ratio and discountrate based on ACC guidance 2/20/2009 (6) Ratio of Non-inc to incentive Costs discounted 20% for 2008/09 programmeasures	Tharam Energy A ta. 2009 O9 progr	aters rallysis Assi ammeasum	umptions t	gp												

5
=
-
œ
Ö
0
ĸ
Δ.
S
Ш
œ
Ö
Ś
z

REDUCED INFILTRATION

DROCRAMDATA						OPERATING DATA	IG DATA			-				OTHER FACTORS	TORS			
Meacure Life (vrs)-		20				From 0.65 ACH to 0.35 ACH	1CH to 0.35	ACH						Application			RET	_
Program Life (VIS):		ູ]				CostBasis:		Install	Installed Cost	
Levelized \$/Thems		\$0.84																
Ratio of Non-inc to Incentive Costs	Costs	20.0%												Weighting Factors	sctors			
IR P Discount Rate:		7.00%												Flagstaff			40%	
Social DiscountRate		7.00%				RATE DATA	L'A							Kingman			20%	
NTG Ratio:		100%	· · · · ·		_	\$/Them			80.	\$ 0.8819				Prescott			10%	
		DEMAND/ENERGY SAVINGS					INCEN	TIVE CALC	INCENTIVE CALCULATIONS			.sno	CUSTOMER COST/SAVINGS	/SAVINGS		WGT. 9	% incent	TRC
					Amual	Ŗ	Social		<u> </u>	Δ		TaxCredits						
					Savgs.	₹	Z	Recomme	Recommended Program	gram	IIC.	and Other	cost	Payback	×			
Measure			Base Usage	Measure	PerHome	Benefit	Benefit	Incertive	١	Cost NPV	v cost	: Incertives	Savings	wo/ Inc.	WInc.	Weighting		
Type	Location	Basis	(Therms/home) (Therms/home)	Thems/home)	(Thems)	(\$/ Unit	(S/Unio	(\$/unit	% PV (\$/1	(S/Unit) (S/Unit)	nio (S/Unio	in (\$/Unit	(\$/ Unit	(yrs)	(ATS)	Factor	(%)	BC Ratio
R educed Inflittation	Prescott	From 0.75 ACH to 0.35 ACH	292	484	28	\$747	\$747	\$173	23% \$4	\$431 \$315	15 \$345	8	574	4.7	2.3	100%	20%	1.73
Weighted Average					28	\$747	\$747	\$173	23% \$2	\$431 \$315	15 \$345	8	\$74	4.7	2.3	100%	20%	1.73
(1) See Energy Aralysis Assumptions tab for energy calculation para (2) Measure Life from UNSG TR M 2007-1 (3) References for Base and Measure Efficiencies provided in Energ (4) See worksheet Cost Assumptions' for information of cost clab.)	umptons tab fo TRM 2007-1 Measure Efficie Imptions' for infi	(1) See Energy Aralysis Assumptions tab for energy calculation parameters (2) Measure Life from UNS C TRM 2007-1 (3) References for Base and Measure Efficiencies provided in Energy Aralysis Assumptions tab (4) See worksheet CostAssumptions' for information of cost data. (5) NTC Ratio and discountrate based on ACC guidance 2/20/2009	s s Assumptions E	<u>e</u>							_					_		

UNSG RES PROGRAM

REDUCED DUCT LEAKAGE

DPOCPAMOATA					dO	OPERATING DATA	3 DATA							OTHER FACTORS	CTORS			
Measure Life (yrc).		000			<u>%</u>	262 cfm 25 to 105 cfm 25) 105 cfm	25						Application			RET	
Medaule Life (1)37.										7				Contono		4		
Program Life (yrs):		<u> </u>												COSTBASIS:		ILEGII	ILE TAILECT COST	
Levelized S/Thems		\$0.84																
R atio of Non-inc to Incentive Costs	osts	20.0%												Weighting Factors	actors			
IRP Discountinate:		%00.2			ļ					í				Flagstaff			40%	
Social DiscountRate		7.00%			RA	RATEDATA	4							Kingman			20%	
NT G Ratio:		100%			55	S/Therm			\$0.8819	6				Prescott			10%	
	Id	DEMAND/ENERGY SAVINGS	S				INCENT	INCENTIVE CALCULATIONS	LATIONS			CUSTO	MER COS	CUSTOMER COST/SAVINGS		WGT. %	% Incent	TRC
					Amual	e e	Social		₹		<u></u>	Taxcredits				•		
					Savgs.	Α		Recommended Program	ed Progra	an.	ncr.	and other	Cost	Payback			_	
Measure			Base Usage	Measure	92	Benefit	Benefit .	Benefit incertive	Cost	t NPV	Cost		Savings	wo/inc. w/inc. Weighting	w Inc.	/eighting		
Туре	Location	Basis	(Thems/home)	(Thems/home) (Thems/home) (Thems)		(S/Unit	S/Unito	(\$/Unito (\$/Unito %PV		ııb (S∕un	io (S/Unio	(S/Unitip (S/Unitip (S/Unitip	(S/Unit	(yrs)	(yrs)	Factor	(%) B	BC Ratio
Reduced Duct Leakage	Prescott	262 cfm 25 to 105 cfm 25	295	438	127 \$	\$1,133	\$1,133	\$270 24%	\$675	5 \$458	\$540	80	\$112	4.8	2.4	100%	%09	8.
Weighted Average					127	\$1,133	\$1,133	\$270 24%	\$ \$675	5 \$458	\$540	S	\$112	4.8	2.4	100%	20%	86.
(1) See Energy Aralysis Assumptions tab for energy calculation parameters (2) Measure Life from UNS C T R M 2007-1 (3) References for Base and Measure Efficiencies provided in Energy Analysis Assumptions tab (4) See worksheet Cost Assumptions for information of cost data. (5) NT C Ratio and discountrate based on ACC guidance 2/20/2009	Imptiors tab for FR M 2007-1 Weasure Efficier mptiors' for infol	ereigy calculation parame rcies provided in Eneigy Ar mation of cost data. :C guidance 2/20/2009	ers alysis Assumptic	YS ta b							_				7			

Incentive Calculations

AIR SEALING + ATTIC INSULATION

UNSG RES PROGRAM

OTHER FACTORS.
Line Loss Factor - Demr. 950%.
Line Loss Factor - Energ. 950%.
Capacity Reserve Fact. 0%.
Application.
RET.
Cost Basis: Installed Cost \$0.08515 \$0.88190 82% 82% 82% 82% OPERATING DATA
On-Pk Ratio:
Off-Pk Ratio:
Summer Ratio:
Winter Ratio:
Coincidence Factor: RATE DATA \$/kwh \$/Therm Hi Cerbon \$0.118 \$0.083 \$0.098 \$0.090 \$1.071 50.0% 7.00% 7.00% Lo Carbon Med Carbon \$0.104 \$0.111 \$0.089 \$0.076 \$0.075 \$0.090 \$0.075 \$1.014 No Carbon \$0.087 \$0.053 \$0.057 \$0.059 PROGRAM DATA
Measure Life (vrs):
Program Life (vrs):
Avoidied Costs
Avoidied Costs
Summer On-Peak AC \$/k*
\$0.08
Summer On-Peak AC \$/k*
\$0.08
Vinter On-Peak AC \$/k*
\$0.08
Vinter On-Peak AC \$/k*
\$0.08
Levelized \$/Therms
Ratio on Non-incut Incentive Costs
IRP Discount Ratie
Social Discount Ratie
NTG Ratio:

	DEMAN	DEMAND/ENERGY SAVINGS						#	SEN INF	INCENTIVE CALCULATIONS	SNO			3	SI UMER	200	CUSTUMER CUST/SAVINGS WGT. 75 Incen TRC	\$	PE 2	E T		Societa	
Measure Type Location		Annual Annual Annual Annual Pocieta Societa Savgs. Savgs. Savgs. Savgs. Pv Lo.Pv Med Pv Hi Pv Recommended Program Incr. and Other Cost Psyback Base Usage Measure Per Home Serving (\$/Unit) (\$/Un	Anr Sav ure PerH home (kV	Annual Anni Savgs. Savi Per Home Per H (KW) (KW)	Annual A Savgs. S er Home Pe (kWh) (T	Annual Savgs. Per Home B (Thems) (\$	IRP St PV L Benefit B (\$/Unit) (\$	uel Annual IRP Societa Societal Societal PV Secommended Program ome 2er Horn Benefit Benefit Benefit Benefit Benefit Benefit Benefit (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit)	tel Societa 7V HiPV fit Benefit it) (\$/Unit)	Recommended Incentive	iended tive	PV Program Cost (\$/Unit) (\$	NPV (\$/Unit	Tex Credits Incr. and Other Cost Pocyback NPV Cost Incentvestevings wo/Inc. w/Inc/Weighting: (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Unit) (\$/Exc) Factor	Tex Credits and Other (Incentives3a (\$/Unit) (\$,	Sost F vings wo	Sayback Vlinc. w/lr /rs) (yrs	nc/Veight	ing (%)	BC Rati	BC Ratiq Lo Med	Med	ï
Atticlinsulation & Air Sealin Prescott 50 sf∢R19 to >=R	cott 50 sf <r19 td="" to<=""><td>>=R 574</td><td>551</td><td>0.85</td><td>1,030</td><td>107</td><td>\$1,915</td><td>1,030 107 \$1,915 \$2,266 \$2,419 \$2,587</td><td>9 \$2,587</td><td>\$500</td><td>26%</td><td>26% \$1,390 \$526 \$1,140 \$0</td><td>\$526</td><td>\$1,140</td><td>0\$</td><td>182 6</td><td>\$182 6.3 3.5</td><td>100%</td><td>44%</td><td>44% 1.38</td><td>1.63 1.74</td><td>1.74</td><td>1.86</td></r19>	>=R 574	551	0.85	1,030	107	\$1,915	1,030 107 \$1,915 \$2,266 \$2,419 \$2,587	9 \$2,587	\$500	26%	26% \$1,390 \$526 \$1,140 \$0	\$526	\$1,140	0\$	182 6	\$182 6.3 3.5	100%	44%	44% 1.38	1.63 1.74	1.74	1.86
Weighted Average						107	\$1,915	107 \$1,915 \$2,266 \$2,419 \$2,587	9 \$2,587	\$500	36%	26% \$1,390 \$526 \$1,140	\$526		8 8	\$182 6.3	6.3 3.1	3.5 100%		44% 1.38	163 1.74	1.74	1.86
(1) See Energy Analysis Assumptions tab for energy calculation parameters (2) Measure Life from UNSG TRM 2007-1 (3) References for Base for Ses efficiencies provided in Energy Analysis Assumptions tab (4) See worksheel Cost Assumptions' for information of cost clata. (5) It is assumed that as installed mulation acts as R 11 (R-13 that has been de-rated).	ions tab for energy c 2007-1 sure Efficiencies pro pns' for information c ullation acts as R 11	calculation parameters vided in Energy Analysis / of cost data. 1 (R-13 that has been de-ra	Assumptions rted).	s tab		-							1								_		
Weighted Average Check						ð	ð	OK O	ō	ō	Ā Ş	ð	ě	ð	ŏ	ð	Ą)K* (ž)K	š	ě	ð